

**220 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-2665-2021 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 6th APRIL, 2021**

TANIYA KAKKAR AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

This petition was filed for issuance of directions to the official respondents for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondents arrayed in the petition.

As per the pleadings, the petitioners had performed marriage against the wishes of their parents, hence, there is a threat perception.

On 17th March, 2021, the following order was passed:-

“ The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only..

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana, appearing on advance notice accepts the same and seeks time to have instructions.

Put up on 6.4.2021.

In the meantime, the police official not below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police should record the statements of the petitioners.

In case a request is made by the petitioners, they may be

provided shelter in safe house till the next date.”

Reply filed by way of an affidavit of Sunil Kumar, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Kalayat is taken on record.

Relevant para of the reply is reproduced as under:-

“3. That after getting the notice in the present petition, the petitioners were contacted and their statements had been duly recorded by the police and in which petitioner No.2 stated that now they have no danger or threat from the family of petitioner No.1 and he wants to take the petitioner No.1 to his native village Wazirnagar. Petitioner no.1 further got recorded her statement that now she had no threat or danger of any kind from her family and she wanted to go to her matrimonial house with petitioner no.2. The video-recording of the petitioner while making the statement was also done and petitioners refused to stay at protection/shelter home. Report in this regard was prepared and submitted by deponent to Superintendent of Police, Kaithal. Statements of petitioners no.1 and 2 are annexed herewith as Annexure R-1 and R-2 and Report prepared by Deponent in this regard is annexed as Annexure R-3 for kind perusal of this Hon'ble Court.”

In view of reply filed, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition.

Disposed of as infructuous.

6th APRIL, 2021

sham

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>