SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2021.03.24 15:31
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-691-2021
Date of decision : 18.3.2021

Hari and others ..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

Ramesh Chander Bidhan ..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate
for petitioners.

Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG Haryana.

-.- -.-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The case is taken up through video conferencing on account of COVID 2019.

Petitioners have filed CWP-32088-2019 seeking direction to official respondents to allocate appropriate share of agricultural land to newly established Gram Panchayat Toki. That writ petition was disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2-Director General, Panchayats Haryana to consider and decide legal notice of petitioners in accordance with law.

Mr. Yadav, learned DAG Haryana has circulated a speaking order passed by respondent-Director General, Panchayats Haryana wherein it has been stated that as per Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 and Rules framed thereunder, Deputy Commissioner concerned is competent authority to determine assets and liabilities of any panchayats on bifurcation of existing panchayat.

In view thereof, this contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

Disposed of accordingly.

It will be open to petitioners to submit a representation before competent authority i.e. Deputy Commissioner concerned for appropriate action.

Learned counsel for petitioners states that representation would be filed within 10 days.

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2021.03.24 15:31
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

On receipt of representation, Deputy Commissioner concerned may look into same and take action as per provisions of Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 and Rules framed thereunder.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

18.3.2021
sjks

Whether reasoned order : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No