

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-PIL-58-2021

Date of decision : 23.03.2021

Purshotam Garg

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Raj Kumar Chandana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Moudgil, Additional Advocate General, Haryana,
for the respondents.

(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the
proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court).

RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

This petition has been filed by way of public interest litigation
praying for a direction to the respondent authorities not to construct *Rehri*
market in the open space existing opposite public toilet in the market of
village Haripur, Sector 4, Panchkula, as that is the only place available to the
residents for community activities as well as for parking.

Learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, appearing on
behalf of respondent No.1 - State as well as respondent No.2 – Municipal
Corporation, Panchkula, on advance copy, submits that the representation
filed by the petitioner shall be considered. He, however, submits that as the
representation is not complete, the petitioner be directed to file a fresh

representation along with a copy of this petition before the authorities, which would be considered and decided by them expeditiously in accordance with law.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner shall file a fresh representation along with a copy of the petition as well as copy of this order with the respondent authorities and they should be directed to consider and decide the same.

Taking the statements of learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, as well as learned counsel for the petitioner on record, the petition filed by the petitioner is disposed of in terms of and in accordance with the said statements made before this Court, with a further observation that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and, therefore, the authorities would examine all aspects of the matter and take a decision thereon expeditiously in accordance with law, as undertaken before this Court by learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

March 23, 2021
ndj

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No