

223.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12663-2021

Date of decision: 25.03.2021.

PARMAL SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Sherry K. Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana,
with ASI Satvir Singh.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.501 dated 01.09.2017 registered under Sections 148, 149, 202, 285, 323, 201, 324, 302, 307, 506 and 120-B of IPC and Sections 25, 27 and 54 of Arms Act (Sections 201 and 202 of IPC and Section 27 and 54 of Arms Act added lateron) at Police Station Gharaunda, District Karnal.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the role attributed to the petitioner is similar to that of Vijay, who has been admitted on bail by this Court in **CRM-M-2330-2019** titled as ***Raj Kumar and others Versus State of Haryana***. He further submits that considering the nature of allegation against Raj Kumar, the petition qua him was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 17.02.2021, whereas the other co-accused, namely, Vijay, Om Patai, Shimla and Parveen Kumar were admitted on bail. Petitioner is in

custody since 02.09.2017. Challan in the case has been presented on 28.11.2017.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the fact that the role attributed to the petitioner is similar to that of co-accused Vijay and Vijay has been admitted on bail by this Court vide order dated 17.02.2021.

In view of the fact that the role attributed to the petitioner is similar to that of co-accused Vijay and Vijay having been admitted on bail by this Court vide order dated 17.02.2021, this Court deems it appropriate to admit the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

25.03.2021
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No.
Yes/No.