

CWP-6109-2021

Date of decision : March 17, 2021

Monika

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Anil Ghanghas, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. AG, Haryana.

LISA GILL, J.

This matter is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of the pandemic, COVID-19.

This writ petition has been filed for quashing of notice and list dated 10.03.2021 (Annexure P5) to the extent that the petitioner has not been called for interview for selection to the post of Art and Craft Teacher. Petitioner had participated in the selection for candidates to the post of Art and Craft Teacher pursuant to advertisement No. 6/2006 dated 28.12.2020. She took the written test conducted on 31.01.2021. It is stated that petitioner as per her self assessment has secured 104 marks and the last candidate called for interview in the General category has scored 102 marks. As per the advertisement, minimum qualifying marks in the written test in the General category is 50%. Scrutiny of petitioner's documents was carried out, therefore, petitioner is assumed to have scored 50% of the marks. It is further submitted that though petitioner herself did not submit any objection to the answer key uploaded by the respondent – Commission, objections were filed by other candidates but no final decision was taken. It is the petitioner's case that if answers of two of the questions i.e. No. 43 and 91 are considered, the petitioner as per her self-assessment has scored 104 marks and the last candidate

called for interview in the General category has scored 102 marks. Therefore, the petitioner claimed to be eligible to participate in the interview for which she was not called.

Learned counsel for the State to whom advance copy of the writ petition had been supplied, was asked to seek instructions in this regard and the matter was adjourned for today.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Sh. Dikansh Goyal, LA, HSSC, informs that due to number of representations received, candidate who had scored 45% had been called for scrutiny of documents in order to facilitate smooth process of selection. Objections were considered by the Expert Committee and revised answer key was prepared. It is submitted that petitioner has scored 47% marks as per the revised answer key. Therefore, having failed to score the minimum qualifying marks she was not called for interview.

In this view of the matter, no further orders are called for in this writ petition, which is dismissed.

March 17, 2021
rts

(Lisa Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No