

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

216

**CRM-M-12952-2021
Decided on : 28.07.2021**

Indrawati

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

(Through Video Conferencing)

PRESENT: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by SI Sushila.

Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 75, dated 26.10.2020, under Sections 376(2)(n), 406, 506, 120-B of IPC and Section 6 of the POCSO Act, 2012, registered at Police Station Women Rohtak, District Rohtak.

Learned State counsel has apprised the Court that the evidence of the prosecutrix stands concluded. However, she has not been able to controvert the fact that the allegations levelled against the petitioner is of being a mute spectator when the prosecutrix was being forced into immoral trafficking by the co-accused i.e. her husband Karambir.

Heard.

The petitioner is a lady and has been in custody since 17th November, 2020. There is no likelihood of the trial concluding anytime in

the near future, as 16 prosecution witnesses remained to be examined. The prosecutrix, who is the main witness has already been examined. Therefore, there is no likelihood of the petitioner tampering with the evidence or trying to influence the prosecutrix to depose in her favour.

In the circumstances, I deem it a fit case for grant of the concession of regular bail to the petitioner. The petition as such is allowed and the petitioner is admitted to bail to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

July 28, 2021

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*