

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

253

CRM-M-15385-2018(O&M)

Date of Decision: 16.08.2021

Neeraj Kumar Gupta

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Gaurav Dhir, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

This is a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of pre-arrest bail to petitioner in case FIR No.74 dated 08.02.2018 under Sections 120-B, 406, 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC, registered at Police Station Palam Vihar, District Gurugram.

Learned State counsel submits that though petitioner was granted interim bail vide order dated 15.05.2018 but the same was withdrawn on account of non-compliance, vide order dated 09.08.2018. He further submits that thereafter petitioner was granted interim bail afresh vide order dated 24.01.2019, however, the said order was also recalled/vacated, vide order dated 30.01.2020. On instructions from Assistant Sub Inspector Vedpal, he further submits that petitioner- Neeraj Kumar Gupta had surrendered before the Investigating Officer on 20.12.2020 and he was admitted to bail in terms of judgment of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in "**Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar & Anr**", **Criminal Appeal No.1277 of 2014 [SLP (Crl.) No.9127 of 2013]** **decided on 02.07.2014** and as such instant petition moved by petitioner for grant of pre-arrest bail has become infructuous.

In view of above, instant petition seeking pre-arrest bail is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

16.08.2021
D.Gulati

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
Whether Reportable : Yes/ No