

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.6274 of 2021.
Date of Decision:-18.03.2021.

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

HC Ajay

.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA J. (Oral)

By way of this petition, the petitioner has approached this Court for seeking the relief of issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* quashing the order dated 29.08.2017 (Annexure P-4) passed by respondent No.4 whereby he had been dismissed from the service and also the order dated 12.06.2018 (Annexure P-9), as passed while rejecting his representation/appeal and he has further prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing respondent No.2 to take appropriate decision on the revision petition preferred by him on 21.08.2019 (Annexure P-17), while averring that he had been dismissed from the service without following the due procedure prescribed for this purpose and his appeal has also been wrongly dismissed by the Appellate Authority and the said revision petition, as preferred by him before respondent No.2, has not yet been decided.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings in this case in pursuance of the copies of this petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, accepts the notice on behalf of all the respondents.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider and decide his said revision petition within some specific time-frame.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

Keeping in view the above-discussed limited prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner and without commenting upon the merits of the matter in hand, the instant writ petition is hereby disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2-the Director General of Police, Haryana to consider and decide the said revision petition of the petitioner (Annexure P-17), in accordance with law/relevant rules, by passing a speaking order thereon, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

It is further clarified that if there be any need or requested by the petitioner, he may also be afforded an opportunity of being personally heard for the afore-said purpose.

This petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

March 18, 2021.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.