

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

220

**CRWP-2131-2020
Decided on : 03.09.2021**

Manpreet Kaur and another

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL
(Through Video Conferencing)**

PRESENT: None for the petitioners.

Mr. P. S. Walia, Asstt. A. G., Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing the respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners.

On 26.02.2020, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following orders:

“It is contended that the petitioner No.1 Manpreet Kaur, aged about 24 years has got married with petitioner No.2 Swarn Singh, aged about 25 years against wishes of her family members, who are arrayed as private respondents No.4 to 6 and such respondents are threatening to assault them.

Notice of motion for 04.05.2020.

In the meanwhile, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala is directed to ensure that no physical harm is caused to the petitioners at hands of respondents No.4 to 6 or persons claiming under them. However, this order shall not validate the marriage between the petitioners and shall not protect them from any civil or criminal action, to which they may be found liable.”

Learned State counsel has submitted that the statements of the petitioners as well as private respondents have been recorded, as per which, there is no apprehension to the petitioners at present and thus, the present petition has become infructuous.

Keeping in view the above said facts and the statement made by the learned State counsel, the present petition is disposed of, having been rendered infructuous.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to move a representation before respondent No. 2 in case of any apprehension in future and in case, such a representation is moved then, respondent No. 2 is directed to look into the matter and take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

03.09.2021
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No