

**128 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-2648-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 31.03.2021**

Rajina and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Amjad Khan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

CRM-W-434-2021

Allowed as prayed for.

Additional affidavit of petitioner No.2 dated 19.03.2021 is taken on record as Annexure P-5.

CRWP-2648-2021

The present petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 6.

It is stated that petitioner No.1 namely Rajina is aged about 25 years and this is her allegedly first marriage, whereas, petitioner No.2 namely Mangat Khan is aged about 29 years and this is his second marriage.

As per the additional affidavit filed in the Court today, the first marriage of petitioner No.2 was solemnized with Ravia on 14.12.2008 and out of the said wedlock, 03 daughters were born. His first daughter namely Mehak was born on 14.03.2010, second daughter namely Ruksana was born on 21.10.2012 and third daughter namely Khushi was born on 08.08.2015.

It is further stated in the affidavit that petitioner No.2 has not taken divorce from his first wife namely Ravia and he wants to reside with petitioner No.1 namely Rajina, along with his first wife and 03 children.

A perusal of the affidavit further shows that it is an admitted case that petitioner No.2 has not taken divorce from Ravia and she is not even impleaded as a respondent in the present case and, moreover, nothing has been stated in the affidavit as to how petitioner No. 2 will ensure the upbringing and education of his 03 minor daughters from his first marriage.

On the face of it, this petition, which is filed with a prayer to provide protection to the life and liberty to the petitioners, is nothing but just a lustful act of petitioner No.2 to seek a seal of this Court on the illegal action taken by him.

Accordingly, finding no ground in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

31.03.2021

Waseem/Chetan

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No