

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.112

CWP-6497-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision : 25.11.2021

Samanta and another

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate, for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have been ordered to be evicted in proceedings initiated under the Punjab Security of Land Tenures Act, 1953 on account of non-payment of batai from 1993 to 1996. Order of eviction has been passed and appeal & revision there-against have been dismissed.

The only argument raised is that after passing of the impugned orders, arrears of rent have been deposited. Thus, a lenient view may be taken.

Deposit of batai/rent after passing of orders of eviction, creates no equity or rights in favour of the petitioners.

Thus, the petition has no merit and is dismissed.

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

25.11.2021

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No