

COCP No.682 of 2021 (O&M)  
Date of Decision : 16.07.2021

...Petitioner

Versus

## ....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr.Ramneek Vasudeva, Advocate for the petitioner.  
\*\*\*

**B.S. Walia, J. (VC)**

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Prayer in the petition under Sections 11 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is for initiating action against the respondents for intentionally and willfully disobeying order (Annexure P-1) dated 31.07.2017 passed by the Division Bench of this Court in CWP No.16759 of 2017 in case titled as 'Dr. Vikas Verma versus Union of India and others'.

3. Learned counsel contends that vide order (Annexure P-1) dated 31.07.2017, Hon'ble the Division Bench of this Court in CWP No.16759 of 2017, while issuing notice of motion for 08.09.2017, ordered that services of the petitioner be not dispensed with, in the meantime. Learned counsel contends that the interim order was continued from time to time but still vide order, Annexure P/7 dated 01.03.2021 the respondent terminated the services of the petitioner w.e.f.

01.04.2021 whereupon the petitioner filed CM Nos.3991 & 3993-

CWP of 2021 in CWP No.16759 of 2017 but no orders were passed in favour of the petitioner, whereupon the petitioner invoked the jurisdiction of Hon'ble the Supreme Court, leading to passing of order of *status quo* dated 26.03.2021 qua the employment of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel contends that pursuant to the orders of Hon'ble the Supreme Court, the respondents have passed order dated 15.07.2021, cancelling the termination order and that in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

5. Notice is yet to be issued in the instant petition. Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, especially of the respondents having cancelled order of termination dated 01.03.2021 (Annexure P/7) in view of orders of *status quo* granted by Hon'ble the Supreme Court, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the petition is *disposed of* as not calling for orders for initiating action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)  
Judge

16.07.2021

'Rajneesh/Amit'

|                              |        |
|------------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/ reasoned : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable :         | Yes/No |