

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

(123+211)

CM-1104-CWP-2021 in/& CWP-5918-2020
Date of Decision: January 28, 2021

Uma

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Neeru Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Naveen S. Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for applicant/respondents No. 2 to 4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

CM-1104-CWP-2021

Present application has been filed for placing on record the affidavit dated 18.01.2021 on behalf of respondents No. 2 to 4.

Keeping in view the averments made in the application, the same is allowed and affidavit dated 18.01.2021 on behalf of respondents No. 2 to 4 is permitted to be taken on record.

CWP-5918-2020

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that in pursuance to the order dated 2.12.2020, a short reply by way of affidavit dated 18.01.2021 has been filed by respondents No. 2 to 4, wherein the respondents have clarified that two posts of PRT under the EBG category, which were advertised, could not be filled due to pending litigation and the selection process for filling up those posts has already

been undertaken and the date of interview has been fixed for 04.02.2021. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that as per the said affidavit, the candidates who will be found eligible after the scrutiny of the documents will be called for interview and final result of the written examination as well as interview will be declared after completion of the said process and the appointment to the recommended candidates, will be made as per the merit determined by the Selection Committee.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents satisfy the claim of the petitioner at this stage and she does not wish to press this petition any further.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that in case, the petitioner has any grievance after the completion of the selection, she be permitted to raise the same.

Needless to say that the petitioner in case, is aggrieved in any manner against the final selection, she will be at liberty to avail an appropriate remedy available to her in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of having been not pressed any further.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

January 28, 2021
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No