

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

204

CRM-M-8819-2020

Date of decision: 03.08.2021

Rahisan**...Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana****...Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

Present:- Mr. Saleem Ahmad, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

(through video conferencing)

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 0250 dated 13.07.2019, registered under Sections 323, 342, 384, 506, 34 of the IPC at Police Station Punhana, District Nuh.

The operative part of the order dated 28.02.2020, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was initially granted concession of interim bail by the Additional Sessions Judge, vide order dated 02.08.2019 (Annexure P-2), however, the bail application was later on dismissed on the ground that the petitioner has not given her correct age.

Notice of motion for 27.04.2020.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 28.02.2020, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Tej Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above and considering the fact that petitioner is on interim anticipatory bail for the last more than one and a half year and she has not misused the same during that period, the present petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 28.02.2020, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

03.08.2021

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No