

210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8478-2020

Date of decision-22.07.2021

RANDHIR SINGH**...Petitioner****Vs.****STATE OF PUNJAB****...Respondent****CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present: Mr. Sheery K. Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Singh Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.07 dated 07.08.2019 under Sections 406 & 420 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station NRI, District Patiala. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police, in the above FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 27.02.2020, whereby while issuing notice of motion to respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the dispute relates to breach of agreement to sell dated 6.2.2019 executed between Jaswant Singh and Randhir Singh (Vendors) and Bhupinder Singh (Vendee) through his wife for purchase of the land and a sum of ₹30 lakhs was paid as earnest money. Learned counsel contends that a sum of ₹10 lakhs

were paid in cash whereas, four cheques of ₹5 lakhs each were given, which had dishonored. According to him, it was the purchaser who was not willing to perform his part of contract and therefore, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be necessary.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Ripudaman Singh Sidhu, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the complainant and files his memo of appearance.

Adjourned to 15.7.2020.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by SI Harjit Singh does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 27.02.2020 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

22.07.2021

Vipin kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No