

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13762 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: March 25, 2021

Sangeeta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Anshul Baghla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed with a prayer to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 9 as well as for a direction to official respondents No.2 and 3 to carry out a fair and impartial investigation in FIR No.355 dated 28.10.2019, under Sections 328, 34, 498-A, 506 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Kanina, District Mahendergarh.

Counsel for the petitioner herein would contend that despite the fact that six persons having been named in the complaint, the final report has been filed only against husband and five persons have been exonerated by the investigating agency. Counsel for the petitioner would submit that

the petitioner herein has filed a separate representation to the SSP, Mahendergarh and SHO, PS Kanina stating that proper action should be taken against the family members, who are threatening the petitioner herein, however, no action has been taken on the said representation.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3-State only.

At this stage, Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG Haryana, who is present in court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State. She would submit that final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has been filed against the husband of the petitioner herein, who is facing the trial. The matter was investigated and five other persons, who were none other than the family members of the husband of the petitioner, were found to be innocent, as such, they were not named as accused persons in the final report presented under Section 173 Cr.P.C.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and find that the matter has been investigated in the said complaint filed and a final report has already been submitted with the trial court and in case, the petitioner has any grievance, she is always at liberty to move appropriate application before the trial court to summon them as an additional accused, which will be considered and decided by the trial court in accordance with law. However, as regards, the prayer of the petitioner that she is being harassed and threatened, this court would permit the petitioner herein to move an appropriate application within a period of one week to the concerned official/officer, which will be looked into by the respondent-State and in case, there is any threat perception, the grievance of the petitioner would be addressed.

The petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid directions.

March 25, 2021
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No