

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Criminal Writ Petition No.2571 of 2021
Date of Decision: March 16, 2021

Priyanka Kumari & another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh Derabassi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have filed this petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from private respondent Nos.4 to 10 and further not to implicate them in any false case.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that the parties have entered into a marriage on 10.03.2021 against the wishes of the private respondent Nos.4 to 10. He submits that since the private respondents were against their alliance, therefore, they are extending threats to them and a representation (Annexure P-6) in this regard was given to the Senior Superintendent of Police, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali) on 10.03.2021 itself. However, till date no action has been taken thereon, therefore, he prays for issuance of necessary directions.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioners and pursuing the contents of the representation, this court finds that the

apprehension expressed by the petitioners is misplaced as the private respondents are residents of State of Bihar and the alleged manner and mode of threat is not contained in the representation. Besides, the petitioners have expressed apprehension of their implication in a criminal case at the instance of the private respondents, and this in the opinion of the court cannot be considered as a threat as the private respondents, who are parents and relatives of the girl have a right to adopt a remedy in law.

Resultantly, the petition fails and is dismissed.

March 16, 2021
ramesh

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No