

216 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-2104-2020
Date of decision :20.08.2021

Amanjot Kaur & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ order direction to respondent Nos.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

On 26.02.2020, when this case came up for hearing before a Coordinate Bench of this Court, the following order was passed:-

“In the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, prayer has been made for direction to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 9.

Notice of motion for 30.03.2020.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Bhupinder Beniwal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

Complete copy of the paper book be supplied to him during the course of day.

Respondent Nos.4 to 9 be served for the date fixed through ordinary process and registered post as well on furnishing requisite number of copies of the paper-book, registered cover, process fee and incidental expenses, if any, within two weeks.

In the meanwhile, respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, is directed to look into the matter and find out the existence of alleged threat perception to the lives and liberty of the petitioners. Respondent No. 2 shall also verify the antecedents of petitioners and residential address given by them and submit report on or before the date fixed. In case of non-compliance of this order in its letter and spirit, this petition shall positively be dismissed for want of prosecution.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that as per his instructions, the petitioners are residing together happily and the entire matter has been sorted out.

Learned State counsel has stated that as per his instructions, the petitioners are residing together happily.

Keeping in view, the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of being rendered as infructuous.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to move a representation before respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran in

case of any apprehension in future and in case such a representation is moved then respondent No.2 is directed to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

20.08.2021
JITESH (JTS)

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:-	Yes/No