

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Criminal Writ Petition No.2574 of 2021
Date of Decision: March 16, 2021

Kumkum & another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Kapil Khanna, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have filed this petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 to 4 to protect their life and liberty from the hands of private respondent Nos.5 and 6 and to not to interfere in their peaceful married life.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that the petitioners are in live-in-relationship and have apprehension of threat from the parents of petitioner No.1, who may implicate them in a false case. He submits that even the mother of petitioner No.2 was taken away by police and was tortured. He contends that representation Annexure P-3 was given on 10.03.2021 to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, however, no action has been taken upon the same so far, and prays for issuance of directions.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioners, this court finds that the mother of petitioner No.2 has neither joined as petitioner nor supported their averments. The allegations of torturing the mother of

petitioner No.2 are not supported with any material, much less the medical report. Apart from this, petitioner No.1 is eighteen years old and is not residing at parental house, therefore, the apprehension expressed by the petitioners that the parents of petitioner No.1 are likely to give a complaint to the police, cannot be construed as threat to their life, as the parents can also adopt remedy in accordance with law.

Resultantly, no ground is made out for exercising the extraordinary writ jurisdiction. Petition is dismissed.

March 16, 2021
ramesh

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No