

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11933 of 2021.

Decided on:- March 16, 2021.

Gurdeep Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, D.A.G., Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.70 dated 22.02.2021 under Sections 186, 332, 353 and 506 IPC registered at Police Station Chandimandir, Panchkula, District Panchkula.

The aforesaid FIR was registered at the behest of Hari Om, Field Kanungo, who received an application dated 11.02.2021 through Tehsildar for demarcation of the land in Chandimandir, Panchkula. As per the FIR, the complainant along with Halqa Patwari Gurjinder visited the spot on 22.02.2021 at 11.30 A.M., where Gurdeep Singh (petitioner herein), Nambardar Surender and Dharampal resident of Chandimandir, Panchkula were also present. On perusing the revenue record, the complainant apprised the petitioner that the adjacent land bearing Khasra Nos.494-495-496 is already acquired and is in possession of the concerned department i.e. Military. Therefore, the demarcation proceedings can only be completed after informing the concerned Military Department. As the concerned department

was not informed for demarcation on that day and the demarcation could be conducted only after informing the concerned department, it infuriated the petitioner and he attacked on the complainant besides abusing him. He even slapped the complainant and gave him fist blows. The complainant was rescued by the guard/Pujari of Chandimata Temple and Halqa Patwari. The petitioner also extended threat to eliminate the complainant and his family and sped away from the spot on his motorcycle.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that since the petitioner had moved an application dated 18.12.2019 to the Deputy Commissioner, Panchkula highlighting the fact that Khasra No.204 has wrongly been recorded, this fact had invited displeasure of the revenue department. Hence, the present F.I.R. has been registered against the petitioner.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature, as he not only misbehaved with the concerned official, rather slapped him as well, this Court does not find any ground to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The present petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

March 16, 2021

Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No