

204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-11854-2021
Date of decision-09.07.2021**

SAJAN SIDHU @ SAJAN**...Petitioner**

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER**...Respondents****CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Singh Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.229 dated 29.09.2020 under Sections 307 & 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Majitha, Amritsar Rural, District Amritsar. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police, in the above FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 01.04.2021, whereby while issuing notice of motion to respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.PC for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.229 dated 29.09.2020 for the offences under Sections 307, 34 IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Majitha, Amritsar Rural, District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the occurrence pertains to 02.09.2020 and the injured was medico-legally examined only after 13 days of the occurrence in question. He further submits that there had been repeated quarrels between the petitioner and the complainant, which led to the occurrence in question. He further submits that as per the contents of the FIR itself talks of compromise were going on, however, since the talks failed to fructify, the present FIR was registered against the petitioner. It has also been contended that besides there being a delay in the medical examination of the injured as well as in the registration of the FIR, parties thereafter with the intervention of co-villagers ironed out their differences and have compromised the matter.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and does not dispute the factum of compromise and also the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Adjourned to 09.07.2021.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and appear before the investigating agency as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.PC.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Kulwant Singh does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering the above, the petition is allowed and the interim

bail granted by this Court vide order dated 01.04.2021 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

09.07.2021

<i>Vipin kumar</i>	Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
	Whether Reportable :	Yes	No