

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-614-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.08.2021

M/S HIND MOTORS

....Petitioner

Versus

M/S NAVDESH AUTOS LLP AND ORS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. M.L.Saggar, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Armaan Sagar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Chetan Mittal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Kunal Mulwani and
Mr. Tanvir Singh Attariwala, Advocates
for respondent no. 1 to 3

Mr. Salil Sablok, Advocate for respondent no.4

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

Through this petition, the petitioner, who claims to be a landlord of the tenanted premises, prays for issuance of an appropriate direction to the Rent Controller to pass a provisional order for the assessment of rent.

Sh. Chetan Mittal, the learned senior counsel representing respondent no.3 submits that in view of the conduct of the petitioner, it is not entitled to any direction. He further submits that the respondents-tenants are required to be given an opportunity to file a reply. It has been noticed that due to the outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic, the Rent Controller could not take up the case till the month of February, 2021.

On the one hand, there is anxiety on the part of the landlord to expedite the matter and on the other hand, the tenants want an opportunity to file their reply.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the respondents are granted 10 days time to file their reply/written statement to the petition filed under Section 13 of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949. Thereafter, the Rent Controller would proceed in accordance with law and pass an order within the next 15 days.

With these observations, the revision petition is disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

04.08.2021

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE