

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

214

CRM-M-12747-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.06.2021

Ishwar Rani

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. R.S.Mamli, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

Present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C is for grant of regular bail to petitioner-**Ishwar Rani** in case FIR No.243 dated 24.08.2019 under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 506 IPC read with Section 34 IPC (Section 120-B IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Farakpur, District Yamuna Nagar.

Reply dated 04.05.2021 by way of affidavit of Parmod Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, along with Annexures R/1 and R/2 on behalf of respondent-State has already been filed with the Registry of this Court and the same is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner *inter alia* contends

iota of truth therein. He further submits that petitioner was only a guarantor to the alleged loan transaction. He further submits that petitioner is in custody since 20.02.2021 and she is no more required by the Police for any investigation purpose. He further submits that after completion of investigation, final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C (*Challan*) has already been presented in Court on 27.04.2021. He further urges that since trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody further and she may be released on bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel while opposing instant petition has vehemently argued that keeping in view seriousness of offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have carefully gone through the contents of petition as well as reply furnished by respondent-State.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case lest it may prejudice the outcome of the trial but taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is in custody since 20.02.2021; that petitioner is no more required by the Investigating Agency for investigation purpose; that *challan* has already been presented in Court and since consequent trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in custody further, thus, she deserves the concession of bail.

In view of above, instant petition for grant of regular bail

released on bail on her furnishing personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of Trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Yamuna Nagar, as the case may be.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

28.06.2021
Varinder Prashad

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No