

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-218-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.01.2021.

Mukhtiar Masih and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr.Rohan Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

(Presence marked through video conference).

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

CM-1221-CWP-2020

Dismissed as not pressed.

Main Case

Petition herein is under Article 226 of Constitution of India seeking *inter alia* issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the higher pay scale to the petitioners as has been granted to ITI holders working on the same post.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners submitted a representation dated 12.10.2017 (Annexure P-6) to respondent No.4 for according benefit of judgement rendered in CWP No.5902 of 2009 to the petitioners also and grant same pay scale as was granted to ITI holders. Vide order/memo dated 19.12.2017, respondent No.4 rejected the representation of the petitioners and stating that decision with respect to implementation judgment, *ibid* was still to be taken. Thereafter, petitioner again represented vide representation dated 12.02.2018 (Annexure P-7) to respondent No.4 for a comprehensive reply to their representation.

Respondent No.4 vide order dated 07.03.2018 again rejected the representation of the petitioners and held that decision communicated vide memo dated 19.12.2017 is still valid. Hence, the instant petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he would be satisfied, at this stage, if a final decision, on merits, is taken on the representation dated 12.10.2017 (Annexure P-6).

4. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek return by any of the respondents, as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required.

5. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to look into the grievance of the petitioners as per representation dated 12.10.2017 (Annexure P-6) and also by keeping in view the contentions stated in the present petition by treating the same as supplementary representation and decide the same by passing a speaking order, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, but not later than 3 months from today.

6. Disposed of accordingly.

January 27, 2021
vandana

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No