

Charanpreet Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Anil Kumar Garg, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Ramdeep Pratap Singh, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Balraj Singh, Advocate,
for respondent no.2.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Case heard by video conference.

By this petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.0062, dated 25.03.2017, registered at Police Station Division No.7, Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under the provisions of Sections 342/506/323/34 of the IPC (with the *challan* presented for the commission of offences 342/341/323/506/201/34 IPC), as also all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of a compromise (copy Annexure P-2), arrived at between the petitioners and respondents no.2 and 3.

Pursuant to the order of this Court dated 03.03.2020, the report of the learned JMIC, Ludhiana, dated 15.03.2021, has been received, stating to the effect that as per the statement of the complainant in the FIR, i.e. Manjit Kaur-respondent no.2, as also of the petitioners, the matter has been settled between them and a compromise reached without any pressure coercion or undue influence and that respondent no.2 has also stated that she has no objection to the FIR in question being quashed by this court.

It has also been recorded by the learned JMIC that the

investigating officer having been summoned by that court, he gave a separate statement that there were only three accused in the matter and only one person who could be stated to be the complainant, i.e. respondent no.2, Manjit Kaur, with none of the petitioners declared to be a proclaimed offender.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent no.2 also submits that the matter has indeed been amicably settled between the parties and that the said respondent has no objection to the FIR being quashed.

Learned State upon query submits that, as per his instructions, there is no other criminal case registered against the petitioners.

A perusal of the FIR shows that though one Seero is also named as one of the persons who had attacked the complainant (as alleged). Counsel for the petitioners as also respondent no.2 are *ad idem* that the said person is actually petitioner no.3, Kashmir Kaur (alias Seero).

That being so, looking at the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.0062, dated 25.03.2017, registered at Police Station Division No.7, Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under the provisions of Sections 342/506/323/34 of the IPC (with the *challan* presented for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 342/341/323/506/201/34 IPC), along with all proceedings emanating therefrom, is hereby quashed.

August 05, 2021

dharamvir/

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable:

: Yes/No
Yes/No