

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-12429-2021

Date of Decision: 18.03.2021

Raj Kumar.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

...
(through video conferencing)

Present: Ms. Prabhjot Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

...

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition is for issuance of appropriate direction to respondent No.2 to look into the complaint/representation dated 13.08.2020 (Annexure P4) made by the petitioner to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala.

Learned counsel submits that vide representation dated 13.08.2020 (Annexure P4) the respondent No.2 had been duly intimated about the brutal attack on the petitioner by the private respondents. However, the authorities concerned had failed to initiate any action against the offenders. Learned counsel contends that despite respondent No.2 having been apprised about the commission of cognizable offence by the private respondents, the official respondents had failed to proceed against them under the provisions of law. In support of her submissions, the learned

counsel has invited the attention of this court to the MLR dated 23.03.2020

(Annexure P3) wherein it clearly finds reflected that the petitioner had suffered three lacerated wounds on various parts of his head. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on his representation.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, is directed to look into his grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find the commission of any cognizable offence as alleged or any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation dated 13.08.2020 (Annexure P4), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors.* 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.

18.03.2021

rupi

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Note: Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No