

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

221

CRM-M-11763-2021

DATE OF DECISION: 20.04.2021

KAPIL DEV SHARMA AND ORS

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. J.P. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.103 dated 02.05.2019, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 427, 452, 506, 327 IPC (Section 379 IPC was deleted and Section 327 IPC was added later on), registered at Police Station Bawal, District Rewari.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the FIR is the outcome of a property dispute with the complainant party. He also contends that several co-accused have been granted bail and the petitioners were denied anticipatory bail by the trial Court as there was delay in filing the application.

This Court, by the order dated 15.03.2021, had directed the petitioners to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of their arrest, they were to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from SI Mukesh Kumar, states that the petitioners have joined the investigation.

In view of the above and the petitioners having joined investigation, the order dated 15.03.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioners is made absolute.

However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. They shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

20.04.2021

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No