

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-9182-2020
Date of decision: 25.02.2021**

Rajbir**...Petitioner**

Versus

State of Haryana and another**...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

Present:- Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.0036 dated 15.01.2020 under Sections 120-B, 420, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Bhiwani.

The operative part of the order dated 02.03.2020, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that as per allegations in the FIR, one Rajesh, owner of the property, had entered into an agreement to sell with the petitioner on 07.08.2008 qua five and half marlas of land. Thereafter, a sale deed was executed in favour of wife of the petitioner namely Santosh on 25.07.2018 qua the same land, by one Vir Singh and thereafter, Vir Singh executed another sale deed in favour of Reena on 27.09.2016 qua the same land. It is further submitted that said Reena sold the land to one Vinod Kumar on 29.06.2018 and thereafter, Vinod Kumar executed a release deed in favour of Rajkala on 29.06.2018. It is also submitted that present FIR is

registered by aforesaid Rajkala against the petitioner and three other persons. Learned counsel thus submits that at no point of time, there was no privity of contract between the petitioner and complainant Rajkala.

Notice of motion for 01.04.2020.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 02.03.2020, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Jitender, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 02.03.2020, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

25.02.2021

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No