

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 2524 of 2021

Date of Decision: 15.03.2021

SUKHJIT KAUR AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

V/s.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL.

Present: Ms. Riti Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

Instant petition is filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 for taking the action against the private respondents No.4 and 11 and for protecting the life and liberty of the petitioners and their family members.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that despite repeated representations having been made to the authorities concerned, no action in this regard has been taken against the private respondents who had been harassing the petitioners and their family members continuously w.e.f. 11.08.2019. It has also been contended that the petitioners had also approached the Punjab State Human Rights Commission, Chandigarh which has disposed of the matter vide order dated 25.11.2019 by directing the Senior Superintendent of Police, Muktsar to look into the allegations levelled and take action as may be required in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Superintendent

of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib to look into the representation dated 23.06.2020 (Annexure P-4) and take action in accordance with law at the earliest.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Luvinder Sofat, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

Keeping in view the limited prayer addressed by the learned counsel for the petitioners, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioners made in their representation dated 23.06.2020 (Annexures P-4) and if required, take appropriate action as per the provisions of law. It is further clarified that if respondent No.2 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the said representation then petitioners be duly informed as per the parameters laid down in the case of “*Lalita Kumari Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh*” **Manu/SC/1166/2013.**

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

March 15, 2021

Ess Kay

**[MANJARI NEHRU KAUL]
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>