

221 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1966-2020
Date of decision :02.09.2021

Harpreet Singh & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Bikramjit Singh Baath, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

On 24.02.2020, when this case came up for hearing before a Coordinate Bench of this Court, the following order was passed:-

“In the instant criminal writ petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India, prayer has been made for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents No. 1 to 3, to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners, at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 8.

Notice of motion for 31.03.2020.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to supply requisite number of copies of paper-book to learned State counsel during the course of the day, failing which this petition would entail dismissal for want of prosecution.

Respondents No. 4 to 8 be served through ordinary process, registered post and dasti as well, on furnishing process-fee, requisite number of copies of paper-book, registered covers and incidental expenses, if any, within a period of two weeks' from today.

In the meanwhile, respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur, is directed to look into the matter and find out the existence of alleged threat perception to the lives and liberty of the petitioners. Respondent No. 2 shall also verify the antecedents of petitioners and residential address given by them and submit report on or before the date fixed.

In case of non-compliance of this order in its letter and spirit, this criminal writ petition would entail dismissal for want of prosecution.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners has stated that as per his instructions, the matter has been settled.

Learned State counsel has not disputed this fact and stated that the petitioners are residing together happily.

Keeping in view, the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of having been rendered as infructuous.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to move a representation before respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur in case of any apprehension regarding threat perception of life and liberty in future and in case such a representation is moved then respondent No.2 is directed to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

02.09.2021
JITESH (JTS)

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No