

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-11116-2021

Date of decision : 10.11.2021

Parveen Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

Mr.Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate for
Mr.Sanjay Sheoran, Advocate
for the complainant.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is the first petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR no.40 dated 24.02.2021 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 452 and 506 IPC at Police Station Bhuna, District Fatehabad.

On 10.03.2021, a co-ordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Learned counsel contends that the instant FIR had been registered by the complainant due to misunderstanding besides the matter has now been amicably settled as the complainant has furnished an affidavit in respect thereto to the Investigating Agency.

On oral request of learned counsel for the

petitioners, complainant is impleaded as respondent No.2.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and requests for an adjournment to file reply while Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate, puts in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and confirms amicable settlement of the dispute between the parties.

Adjourned to 05.04.2021.

In the meantime, arrest of the petitioners is stayed, till the next date of hearing.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the present case, the matter has been compromised and has referred to the affidavit (Annexure P-3) of the complainant Praveen Kumar, who has specifically stated that the matter has been compromised and he does not want to take further legal action.

Learned counsel for the complainant has also appeared and has admitted the fact that the matter has been compromised and he stated that he has no objection if the present petition is allowed.

Learned State counsel has opposed the petition for anticipatory bail.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, more so the fact that in the present case, matter has been compromised and the offences are under Sections 148, 149, 323, 452 and 506 IPC, the present petition for anticipatory bail is allowed and the interim order dated 10.03.2021 is made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an

independently of the observations made in the present case which are only
for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail petition.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

November 10, 2021
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No