

227

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-2335-2021

Date of Decision: 16.03.2021

Sapna Rani

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Anandeshwar Gautam, Advocate for
Mr. A.P. Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

This is a petition seeking directions to the official respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the instance of respondent No. 4 and his relatives.

The grievance raised is that applications dated 23rd February, 2021 and 1st March, 2021 are not being considered by the official respondents.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions, submits that police had gone at the address mentioned in the petition of respondent No. 4. The *Sarpanch* and *Lamberdar* had given the statement that no such person resides there.

He further submits that during the enquiry, it surfaced that petitioner without getting divorce has eloped with some person. He submits that representations made by the petitioner would be dealt with in accordance with law within eight weeks from today.

In view of statement made, the petition is disposed of.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

16th March, 2021

pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|-----|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | No |