

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-11444 of 2021
Date of Decision: 12.03.2021**

Lovepreet Kaur

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Harsimran Preet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

This is a petition for anticipatory bail in case of FIR No. 179 dated 1.12.2020, under Sections 323, 324, 379, 452, 427, 148 and 149 IPC (later on Section 326 IPC was added) registered at Police Station Rangar Nangal, Police District Batala, Gurdaspur.

The FIR was at the instance of Baljit Singh alias Dimple. As per the allegations, the accused and the complainants are relatives. There is a property dispute which resulted in the alleged incident. As per the allegations, the petitioner gave a *Datar* blow to the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the case set up, the injury attributed to the petitioner is simple in nature. It was only in the heat of the moment that the alleged incident occurred. He further submits that the co-accused have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 19.1.2021 in CRM-M-44277 of 2020.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail. He fairly submits that the co-accused have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court.

Considering that it is a family dispute; the injury attributed to the petitioner is simple in nature and other co-accused have already been granted anticipatory bail, the petitioner is granted anticipatory bail subject to joining investigation within two weeks. She shall be bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. In case of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail subject to her furnishing adequate bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer. She is directed to join the investigation as and when called.

The petition stands disposed of.

It is clarified that in case of failure of the petitioner to join investigation within the stipulated time, the State would be at liberty to move an application for re-calling of the order.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

12th March, 2021
mk

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |