

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7823-2020.
Date of Decision:-03.09.2021.

Jaskaran Singh @ Gaggi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Walia, AAG, Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

This is the first petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.186 dated 17.10.2019 registered at Police Station City Kotkapura, District Faridkot under Sections 379-B/34 IPC.

On 24.02.2020, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

*“The petitioner has filed this petition under
Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973*

(for short 'the Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.186 dated 17.10.2019 registered under Section 379-B read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station City Kotkapura, District Faridkot.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioner was named in the FIR registered on the basis of secret information as to being habitual snatcher. The petitioner is not involved in any case of snatching. The petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Arun Kaundal, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Copy of the paper book has been supplied to the Learned State Counsel, who seeks time to complete his instructions.

Adjourned to 04.05.2020.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail by the arresting officer/investigating officer on furnishing of bail bonds by him to the satisfaction of

the arresting officer/investigating officer. The petitioner shall comply with the conditions enumerated under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. failing which he shall not be entitled to the protection of interim bail allowed to him.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not involved in any other case.

Learned State counsel has stated that the petitioner has already joined the investigation in pursuance of the above order and in fact in the present case, the recovery has been effected and even the challan has been prepared which will be filed shortly.

Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 24.02.2020 is made absolute.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed.

Nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

September 03, 2021.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.