

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10935-2021

Date of decision : 15.09.2021

Om Parkash

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Hemen Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.33 dated 23.02.2021 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 29 of the Insecticides Act, 1968 at Police Station Sadhaura, District Yamuna Nagar.

On 09.03.2021, the Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is on account of the fact that the licence of the petitioner so as to manufacture pesticides had expired on 08.12.2020, the present FIR has been registered. He further submits that for

renewal of the licence, the petitioner has already deposited fee by way of E-Challan dated 27.11.2020 (Annexure P-4).

Notice of motion for 27.05.2021.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when directed by the investigating agency and shall abide by the terms and conditions laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the abovesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Inspector Didar Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, the interim order dated 09.03.2021 is ordered to be made absolute.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

15.09.2021

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No