

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1943-2020

Date of decision: 03.08.2021

Rani Kaur and another **.....Petitioners.**

vs.

State of Punjab and others **.....Respondents.**

CORAM: -HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: - Mr. Harpal Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

.....

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

The matter was referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 04.03.2020. In view of the discord between the parties, following order was passed on 4.3.2020: -

"This petition has been preferred by the petitioners jointly for seeking protection to their lives and personal liberties.

It appears that after the alleged marriage on 17.02.2020, a discord has taken place. Both the petitioners have their own perceptions.

In my prima facie view they need some counseling to be done by a Mediator.

Both the parties are directed to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court today itself at 12.00 noon.

For further consideration, adjourned to 27.04.2020."

In view of the report of the Mediator, parties have settled their dispute by way of amicable settlement. Petitioner No. 2 stated in the compromise that he will live separately with petitioner No. 1 in a rented premises and the private respondents will not interfere in the marital life of the petitioners.

The main petition relates to issuance of necessary directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 for providing protection to the lives and personal liberties of the petitioners from being invaded by the private respondents. Private respondents had also joined the proceedings before the Mediator and they have also signed the statement as respondents No. 4 and 5.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of State-respondents No.1 to 3.

In view of the nature of the order which this Court proposes to pass in this case, there is no necessity of calling upon any response. The settlement between the

parties being bilateral would not advance any such mandate for this Court to presume anything which has not been proved in accordance with law. At this stage, this Court is more concerned about the lives and personal liberties of the petitioners.

Therefore, at this stage, without meaning anything on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No. 2 to look into the matter and act in accordance with the law. Respondent No.2 would be obligated to consider the matrimonial status of the petitioners and if he/she is satisfied then necessary orders be passed in the context of safeguarding the interests of the petitioners from being violated by the private respondents. It would be appreciated if the needful is done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

03.08.2021

preeti

whether speaking/non speaking yes/no

whether reportable/non reportable *yes/no*