

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(205)

CRM No. M-10974-2021

Date of Decision : 31.03.2021

Naseeb

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

(through video conferencing)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Randeep S. Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Narinder Singh Behgal, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 54 dated 18.03.2020, under Section 379-A of IPC, registered at Police City Narwana, Jind, District Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, the challan has already been presented and in the challan, the motor-cycle used in the incident has been shown to be recovered from the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there are no other cases pending against the petitioner and the petitioner, who is only 19 years of age, be granted the benefit of regular bail as the trial is likely to take some time before the same concludes.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Narinder Singh Behgal, learned Assistant Advocate

General, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned State counsel concedes that there are no other cases pending against the petitioner and the challan has already been presented.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

Once, the challan has already been presented and concededly there are no other cases pending against the petitioner to show that the petitioner is a habitual offender and also the fact that the trial is likely to take some time before the same concludes, no useful purpose will be served keeping the petitioner behind the bars, especially, when learned counsel for the petitioner has undertaken before this Court that the petitioner will not influence the trial in any manner and will maintain good conduct, if he is granted bail. In case of default of the undertaking, the State will be at liberty to approach this Court for passing appropriate orders.

The petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail in this case subject to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an expression of any opinion on the merits of the case.

March 31, 2021
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No