

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-2261-2021(O&M)
Date of decision: 20.04.2021**

Parveen Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Arnav Sood, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This is a petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus for appointment of a Warrant Officer to search detenue-Nirmala Devi at Police Station Sadar, Balachaur, District Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar, and get her released from the alleged illegal confinement of respondents No. 3 and 4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the detenue, who is the mother of the petitioner, has illegally been detained by respondent Nos. 3 and 4 i.e. the Deputy Superintendent of Police and SHO, on the pretext of producing the brother of the petitioner, who is allegedly involved in case FIR

No. 35 dated 17.02.2020 registered under Sections 307, 506, 148, 149 and 120-B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at Police Station City Nawanshahr, District SBS Nagar.

Vide order dated 04.03.2021 passed by this Court, a Warrant Officer was appointed to visit the Police Station Sadar, Balachaur, District Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar, to know the status of the aforesaid detainee.

In compliance thereof, the Warrant Officer submitted a report dated 16.03.2021, stating therein that SI Avtar Singh, Incharge of the Police Station, reiterated that the alleged detainee has not been asked to come to the Police Station by any of the police official, rather her son Rajesh Kumar @ Sonu Khatri, is a 'B' category criminal, against whom nine cases have been registered and due to which monthly checking was conducted at his home on 03.03.2021, regarding which, the entry is made at General Diary No. 016 dated 03.03.2021 at 13.05 hrs.

It has been further stated by SI Avtar Singh, that neither she has been called, nor she is required.

The aforesaid report dated 16.03.2021 of the Warrant Officer, is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is satisfied with the report of the Warrant Officer and does not want to press the present petition. However, he prays to direct the Registry to supply the photocopy of the report of the Warrant Officer.

In view of these facts, the present petition is dismissed being not pressed.

Registry is directed to supply the photocopy of the report dated 16.03.2021 of the Warrant Officer to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

20.04.2021
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No