

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
(through video conferencing)**

CRM-M-12149-2021
Decided on : 27.04.2021

Gurmeet Singh @ Soni

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & anr.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Rajinder Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.(Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.PC for quashing of FIR No.94 Dated 09.05.2020 under Sections 420, 423, 120-B IPC Police Station Ajnala Amritsar Rural Aniritsar and the subsequent proceedings.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on 09.05.2020 respondent No.2 had lodged a false FIR by alleging that she was an illiterate woman and taking advantage of her illiteracy, all the accused hatched a conspiracy and got the General Power of Attorney executed in favour of accused Amrit Pal Singh by taking her thumb impression on it. Thereafter, on the basis of said GPA, sale deed was got executed in favour of Sandeep Kaur, wife of Amrit Pal Singh for land measuring 14 kanals and 6 marlas 3 Sarsai for the sale consideration of Rs.9,80,000/-. Learned counsel contends that it is basically a civil dispute and the FIR in question had been lodged with an ulterior motive to pressurize the said accused to settle the dispute between them. He further contends that in the FIR in question,

there is no specific allegation against the petitioner.

Heard.

In the FIR, specific role has been attributed to the petitioner of not only witnessing the General Power of Attorney but also the sale deed in favour of Sandeep Kaur, wife of Amrit Pal Singh, who also happens to be the real sister of the petitioner. Not only this, the petitioner has witnessed the document by using different names i.e. Gurmeet Singh on the sale deed and Soni on General Power of Attorney with different parentage. A perusal of the allegations levelled in the FIR do *prima facie* disclose the commission of offences.

This Court is, therefore, not inclined to invoke its inherent jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C., more so, since the investigation is still underway and challan has not even been presented. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

27.04.2021

sonia

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable :

Yes/No