

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7822-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.03.2021

Deepchand @ Deepak

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Gautam Kailey, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, realizing that the Court was not convinced with the contentions raised by him and was not inclined to grant the relief prayed for, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he be permitted to withdraw the instant petition, however, a direction be issued to the trial Court to conclude the trial expeditiously.

On being enquired, learned State counsel has informed that in the trial Court, out of 08 PWs cited, 03 PWs have been examined.

Under the circumstances, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn. The trial Court is directed to examine the remaining PWs expeditiously by giving short adjournments, refusing to grant adjournment except for justifiable reasons, which are to be recorded in the order. The State counsel shall cause appearance of PWs on the date(s) fixed. Of course, no unnecessary adjournment would be asked on behalf of the accused, if trial is to proceed expeditiously. The trial Court is to make earnest endeavor to complete the trial preferably within a period of 06 months from the date of receipt of copy of order there.

04.03.2021

sumit.k

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No