

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

**CRM-M-12028-2016
Decided on : 10.09.2021**

Anshuman Sharma

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

(Through Video Conferencing)

PRESENT: Mr. Dharam Pal, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Ved Pal.

Mr. Rajesh Khandelwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2 - complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No. 201, dated 13.03.2016, under Sections 498-A, 406, 323, 506, 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station City Hisar, District Hisar.

The case of the petitioner is that it is on account of matrimonial dispute between the parties, the FIR in question was registered by levelling false and fabricated allegations of subjecting complainant to harassment on account of dowry demand.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to order dated 07th April, 2016, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Ved Pal, states that the petitioner is no longer required for further interrogation.

However, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2

(complainant) has opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by

urging that there are serious allegations levelled against the petitioner of maltreating the complainant-wife.

Heard.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 07th April, 2016, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

September 10, 2021
J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*