

In virtual Court

CRM-M-7600-2020

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-7600-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 01.09.2021

Jitendra Verma

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.209 dated 15.12.2019 under Sections 380, 457 IPC, registered at Police Station PAU, District Ludhiana.

While granting interim bail to the petitioner, following order was passed by this Court on 25.02.2020: -

“...Counsel for the petitioner submits that he is nominated in the FIR on the disclosure of one Vinay Kumar and Kapil Kumar against whom the charge is under Sections 380/457 IPC. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that as per the disclosure, both the co-accused have sold the stolen articles to the petitioner, therefore, the allegation against the petitioner fall under Section 411 IPC.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that in order to show the bona fide, the petitioner is ready to deposit an amount of Rs.3 Lacs with the Illaqua Magistrate within a period of 30 days from today.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Amrik Singh, submits that a list of stolen articles has been given and the petitioner is required for investigation...”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for further investigation. It is further submitted that a period of about 01 year and 06 months has passed and there is no complaint against the petitioner that he has misused the concession of interim anticipatory bail. It is also submitted that the petitioner has also deposited the amount of Rs.3.00 lacs with the Illaqa Magistrate.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Amrik Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 25.02.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

01.09.2021
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No