

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(Proceedings through Video Conferencing)

CRM-M No.8203 of 2020
Date of Decision: 24.08.2021

Kuldeep Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Duggal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.P. Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner pray for grant of concession of pre-arrest bail in
FIR No.61 dated 13.05.2013, registered under Sections
420/465/467/468/471/120-B IPC at Police Station City Phagwara.

The operative part of the order dated 26.02.2020, vide which
interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as
under:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very
outset, submits that he has instructions to say that
petitioner does not want to challenge the order passed
by the trial Court summoning him under Section 319
Cr.P.C. and he is ready to face the trial.*

*Learned counsel further submits that the
petitioner was initially found innocent during
investigation and now he has been summoned under
Section 319 Cr.P.C.*

Notice of motion for 30.03.2020.”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was
summoned as an additional accused under Section 319 Cr.P.C. and in

compliance of the order dated 26.02.2020, he has appeared before the trial Court and is facing the trial.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Parminder Singh, has not disputed the factual position.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 26.02.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

24.08.2021
manju

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No