

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115

CWP-5581-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision : 09.03.2021

JATINDER PAL SINGH

...PETITIONER

Versus

IMPROVEMENT TRUST LUDHIANA AND ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Surinder Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anu Pal, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic COVID-19 situation and as per instructions.

This civil writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to get the sale deed registered in favour of the petitioner with respect to Plot/House No. 32/100, J-Block, Bhai Randhir Singh Nagar, Scheme 550 Acre, Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Executive Officer, Improvement Trust Ludhiana, to consider and decide the legal notice dated 15.12.2020 (Annexure P-7) expeditiously.

Heard.

Considering the nature of prayer made in the instant petition, we are not inclined to issue notice of motion at this stage.

A complete set of paper book has already been handed over to learned State counsel.

Accordingly, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 Executive Officer, Improvement Trust Ludhiana to consider and decide the legal notice dated 15.12.2020 (Annexure P-7) within eight weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of eight weeks thereafter, in accordance with law. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

09.03.2021

jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No