

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10363 of 2021.

Decided on:- March 12, 2021.

Khuspreet Singh @ Khushi.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. P.S. Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Randhir Singh Thind, D.A.G., Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in FIR No.299 dated 18.07.2020 under Sections 22 and 25 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short, the NDPS Act) registered at Police Station Sadar Mansa, District Mansa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 18.07.2020. There is no other case against the petitioner. The alleged recovered quantity of 22 vials (100 Ml. Each) of Wincirex and 210 tablets of Carisoma were not found in conscious possession of the petitioner, rather, the same were found on the rear seat of the car, where co-accused Sandeep was sitting. He relies upon the order dated 02.03.2021 passed by this Court in **CRM-M-8888-2021** titled as ***Ranjit Singh Versus State of Punjab***, whereby co-accused Ranjit Singh has been granted bail.

Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner, which is taken on record. He does not dispute the custody and the fact that the petitioner is not involved in any other case.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the custody of the petitioner and the fact that co-accused Ranjit Singh has already been granted bail by this Court, this Court deems it appropriate to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

It is made clear that in case the petitioner is found indulged in any other case under the NDPS Act, the prosecution shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail in this case.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case without being influenced with these observations in any manner.

March 12, 2021
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No