

226

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.7488 of 2020
Date of Decision: 19.07.2021

USHA AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. G.S. Sirphikhi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, D.A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioners seek grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.28 dated 05.02.2020 registered under Sections 363/366-A/120-B IPC at Police Station Batala Police District Batala.

On 20.02.2020, following order was passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court:-

"It is, inter alia, contended that the petitioners herein had no role to play in any of the offences as mentioned in the FIR, considering the fact that Arshdeep Kaur, daughter of the complainant, had herself left the parental home and sought protection from this High Court by way of filing Crl. Writ Petition No.1468 of 2020.

Notice of motion for 15.07.2020.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Sidakmeet Singh, AAG, Punjab, who is present in Court accepts notice for the respondent-State. Counsel for the petitioner is directed to supply requisite number of copies of complete paper book to counsel appearing for the respondent-State during the course of day.

Meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join investigation within a period of one week and on their doing so, petitioners be released on interim bail subject to their furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioners shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

February 20, 2020
Pankaj”

Evidently, the daughter of the complainant herself sought protection from the High Court by filing CRWP No.1468 of 2020 and this fact has been noticed in the aforesaid order.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioners are father-in-law and daughter of the complainant and in compliance of the order dated 20.02.2020, the petitioners have joined the investigation to the entire satisfaction of the Investigating Officer.

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Sarwan Singh admitted the aforesaid fact and submitted that the petitioners are no more required for further investigation of the case.

In view of aforesaid factual position, the interim order dated 20.02.2020 is made absolute. Petitioners shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to do so and they shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of.

July 19, 2021
Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No