

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CRM-M-7598-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.09.2021

Sandeep Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Karanjeet Singh Brar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Randhawa Singh.*(proceedings conducted through video conferencing)*

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered against her vide FIR No.97 dated 12.05.2019 at Police Station Raman, District Bathinda, under Section 323/379-B/427/341/506/148/149 IPC.
2. The FIR was lodged at the instance of Rajinder Kumar, wherein it is alleged that on 11.5.2019 at about 6:30 PM, he alongwith Harbhagwan Singh and Ashok Kumar was going on vehicle bearing registration No.PB-03AX-3642 from Village Raman to Village Phulokari for collecting cash at the vend. Firstly, they had collected the money from local vend of Village Raman. While Harbhagwan Singh was driving the vehicle, Ashok Kumar had kept the bag in which cash amount was lying. It is alleged that when they reached

- near the house of Deep Singh at village Phulokari, Deep Singh armed with *gandasi*, Chhinda Singh armed with *dhanghi*, Ghukkar Singh armed with *soti* (stick), Simarjit Kaur armed with *soti* (stick), and Sandeep Kaur (petitioner) armed with *soti* (stick) had waylaid them. Deep Singh raised a *lalkara*, upon which Chhinda Singh snatched cash bag from Ashok Kumar. Deep Singh gave a blow with *gandasi* upon the complainant from the blunt side. Sandeep Kaur (petitioner) gave a blow with *soti* (stick) on the right leg of the complainant and Simarjit Kaur gave a blow with *soti* (stick) at his back and they also damaged their vehicle.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that she has falsely been implicated in the instant case and that, in any case, even if the allegations as levelled in the FIR are taken to be correct she is attributed a blow with stick after the entire occurrence had taken place and is alleged to have damaged the vehicle.
 4. Opposing the petition, Learned State counsel has submitted that since the petitioner is specifically named in the FIR, no case for grant of anticipatory bail is made out. Learned State counsel has, however, informed that pursuant to interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has since joined investigation and her custodial interrogation is not required and that she is not wanted in any other case.
 5. Having regard to the aforestated factual position especially that the petitioner has already joined investigation and that her custodial interrogation is not required and while also noticing that the petitioner is a lady, the petition is accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 20.02.2020 are hereby made absolute

subject to the condition that the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

09.09.2021

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)

VY

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No