

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(I)

Date of decision: 30.4.2021

LPA-316-2021 (O&M)

Gurmeet Singh and others

... Appellant (s)

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others

... Respondents

(II)

LPA-318-2021 (O&M)

Ankit Walia

... Appellant

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Nitin Jain, Advocate, for the appellant(s).

Mr. Chetan Mittal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Udig Garg and Ms. Shifali Goyal, Advocates,
for the caveators-respondents.

RAJAN GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Case has been heard through video conferencing on account of
COVID-19 Pandemic.

This order shall dispose of the aforesaid two appeals as
common questions of law and fact are involved.

Present Letters Patent Appeals have been filed against

At the time of hearing the case, learned counsel for the appellants has confined his prayer for assigning of departmental working/retired employee(s) to represent them before the enquiry officer. At this stage, Mr. Mittal submits that he has no objection to the appointment of such employee provided he is not lawyer.

In view of above, matter is hereby disposed of with direction that employees (appellants herein) would be allowed to be represented by departmental working/retired employee other than lawyer.

Both the appeals stand disposed of in the above terms.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

April 30, 2021

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No