

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

214

CRM-M-10663-2021

Date of decision : 12.03.2021.

Akash

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.348 dated 30.09.2020, under Sections 379-A IPC (Section 34 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sector 31, Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR wherein it is alleged that a mobile phone of the complainant was snatched by two boys. He also contends that the petitioner is 19 year old and is in custody for about 4 ½ months. After the arrest of the petitioner in the instant case, he was also involved in two other cases on the basis of his statement and he is on bail in one of those cases.

Learned State counsel upon instructions contends that although challan has been filed but no prosecution witness has been examined.

Heard through video conferencing.

In view of the above especially when the petitioner is 19 years of age, he is in custody for about 4 ½ months, the COVID-19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant

the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, the petitioner shall appear before the Police Station Sector 31, Faridabad on every alternate Monday till the conclusion of the trial.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

March 12, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No