

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

207-2

CRM-M-10162-2021(O & M)

Date of Decision: September 28, 2021

RAJ KUMAR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr.Munish Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirpal Singh Thakur, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sachin Mittal, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.37 dated 22.01.2021, under Sections 406, 420 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Karnal Civil Line, District Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is alleged that the petitioner had taken money from the complainant for providing him a job. He, however, contends that the FIR is outcome of a monetary dispute.

This Court vide order dated 04.03.2021 while issuing notice of motion had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation as counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would return the money within a period of one month. Several opportunities were granted thereafter to the petitioner but he has not returned the money.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in financial difficulty and, therefore, he is not in a position to return the money.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from DSP Mukesh Kumar states that the petitioner has not joined the investigation in terms of the order dated 04.03.2021 passed by this Court.

In view of the above, especially when the petitioner has neither returned the money nor joined the investigation, I do not find it appropriate to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The petition is dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

September 28, 2021
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No